

Members Home to School Transport Appeals Panel

Thursday, 10 December 2020

**PRESENT:** Councillor H.A.L. Evans (Chair)

**Councillors:**

G. Davies and S.L. Davies

**The following Officers were in attendance:**

A. Evans, Operations Manager - Passenger Transport

K. Morris, Assistant Solicitor

S. Williams, Senior School Organisation Officer

A. Eynon, Principal Translator

M.S. Davies, Democratic Services Officer

R. Lloyd, Democratic Services Officer

E. Bryer, Democratic Services Officer

**Virtual Meeting - 12.45 pm - 1.00 pm**

**1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

There were no apologies for absence.

**2. DECLARATIONS OF PERSONAL INTEREST**

There were no declarations of personal interest.

**3. EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC**

**UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED** pursuant to the Local Government Act 1972, as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) (Wales) Order 2007 that the following item was not for publication as the report contained exempt information as defined in Paragraph 12 of Part 4 of Schedule 12A to the Act.

**4. TO CONSIDER AN APPEAL BY APPLICANTS K.D. & F.D. AGAINST A DECISION OF THE AUTHORITY FOR THE NON-PROVISION OF FREE SCHOOL TRANSPORT.**

Following the application of the public interest test it was **UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED** pursuant to the Act referred to in minute 3 above, not to publicise the content of the report as it contained exempt information relating to a particular individual. The public interest test in this matter related to the fact that the report included personal information relating to the applicant. Disclosure would support transparency and accountability in the decision making process, however, on balance the public interest in maintaining confidentiality outweighed the public interest in disclosure because of the need to protect the identity of the applicant and the family.

The Panel considered the written representations of the appellant in respect of the refusal of the Stage 1 Review Panel to grant K.D. and F.D. free school transport to the nearest LEA designated school which was located within the statutory walking distance and therefor, did not meet the criteria outlined in the Council's policy for the provision of assistance.

The Panel considered the observations of the departmental officers, together with the individual circumstances of the case and the assessment of the potential walking route from K.D. and F.D.'s home address to the designated school.

**UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that the appeals submitted by K.D. and F.D. for free home to school transport be upheld.**

**5. TO CONSIDER AN APPEAL BY APPLICANT Z.G. AGAINST A DECISION OF THE AUTHORITY FOR THE NON-PROVISION OF FREE SCHOOL TRANSPORT.**

Following the application of the public interest test it was **UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED** pursuant to the Act referred to in minute 3 above, not to publicise the content of the report as it contained exempt information relating to a particular individual. The public interest test in this matter related to the fact that the report included personal information relating to the applicant. Disclosure would support transparency and accountability in the decision making process, however, on balance the public interest in maintaining confidentiality outweighed the public interest in disclosure because of the need to protect the identity of the applicant and the family.

The Panel considered the written representations of the appellant in respect of the refusal of the Stage 1 Review Panel to grant Z.G. free school transport to the nearest LEA designated school which was located within the statutory walking distance and therefor, did not meet the criteria outlined in the Council's policy for the provision of assistance.

The Panel considered the observations of the departmental officers, together with the individual circumstances of the case and the assessment of the potential walking route from Z.G.'s home address to the designated school.

**UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that the appeal submitted by Z.G. for free home to school transport be upheld.**

**6. TO CONSIDER AN APPEAL BY APPLICANT O.O. AGAINST A DECISION OF THE AUTHORITY FOR THE NON-PROVISION OF FREE SCHOOL TRANSPORT.**

Following the application of the public interest test it was **UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED** pursuant to the Act referred to in minute 3 above, not to publicise the content of the report as it contained exempt information relating to a particular individual. The public interest test in this matter related to the fact that the report included personal information relating to the applicant. Disclosure would support transparency and accountability in the decision making process, however, on balance the public interest in maintaining confidentiality outweighed the public

interest in disclosure because of the need to protect the identity of the applicant and the family.

The Panel considered the written representations of the appellant in respect of the refusal of the Stage 1 Review Panel to grant O.O. free school transport to the nearest LEA designated school which was located within the statutory walking distance and therefor, did not meet the criteria outlined in the Council's policy for the provision of assistance.

The Panel considered the observations of the departmental officers, together with the individual circumstances of the case and the assessment of the potential walking route from O.O.'s home address to the designated school.

**UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that the appeal submitted by O.O. for free home to school transport be upheld.**

---

**CHAIR**

---

**DATE**